

66th Annual Scientific Session & Expo

LOWER RATES OF HOSPITALIZATION FOR HEART FAILURE AND ALL-CAUSE DEATH IN NEW USERS OF SGLT-2 INHIBITORS VERSUS OTHER GLUCOSE LOWERING DRUGS – REAL WORLD DATA FROM SIX COUNTRIES AND MORE THAN 300,000 PATIENTS: THE CVD-REAL STUDY

Mikhail Kosiborod, MD on behalf of the CVD-REAL Investigators and Study Team







Lower Rates of Hospitalization for Heart Failure and All-Cause Death in New Users of SGLT-2 Inhibitors: The CVD-REAL Study

Mikhail Kosiborod, MD¹; Matthew Cavender, MD, MPH²; Anna Norhammar, MD³; John Wilding, DM FRCP⁴; Kamlesh Khunti, MD, PhD⁵; Alex Z. Fu, PhD⁶; Reinhard W Holl, MD, PhD⁷; Kåre I Birkeland, MD, PhD^{8,9}; Marit Eika Jørgensen MD, PhD^{10,11}; Niklas Hammar, PhD^{3,12}; Johan Bodegård, MD, PhD¹³; Betina Blak, MSc, PhD¹⁴; Eric T Wittbrodt, PharmD, MPH¹⁵; Sara Dempster, PhD¹⁶; Markus Scheerer, MSc, PhD¹⁷; Niki Arya, MSc¹⁸; Marcus Thuresson, PhD¹⁹; Peter Fenici²⁰ on behalf of the CVD-REAL Investigators and Study Group

 ¹Saint Luke's Mid America Heart Institute and University of Missouri-Kansas City, Kansas City, USA; ²University of North Carolina, North Carolina, USA; ³Karolinska Institutet, Stockhom, Sweden; ⁴Institute of Ageing & Chronic Disease, Liverpool, UK; ⁵Diabetes Research Centre, Leicester, UK; ⁶Georgestown University Medical Center, Washington DC, USA; ⁷Institute for Epidemiology and Medical Biometry, University Ulm, Ulm, Germany; ⁸University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; ⁹Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; ¹⁰Steno Diabetes Center, Copenhagen, Gentofte, Denmark; ¹¹National institute of Public Health, Southern Denmark University, Denmark; ¹²AstraZeneca Gothenburg, Mölndal, Sweden; ¹³AstraZeneca, Oslo, Norway; ¹⁴AstraZeneca, Luton, UK; ¹⁵AstraZeneca, Wilmington, Delaware, USA; ¹⁶AstraZeneca, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA; ¹⁷AstraZeneca, Wedel, Germany; ¹⁸AstraZeneca, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA; ¹⁹Statisticon AB, Uppsala, Sweden; ²⁰AstraZeneca, Cambridge, UK





Patients with Type 2 diabetes (T2D) are at high risk for developing cardiovascular disease (CVD) complications, including heart failure

 The EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial demonstrated a reduction in hospitalization for heart failure (HHF) and all-cause death with the sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitor, empagliflozin, in patients with Type 2 diabetes and established cardiovascular disease¹



• Are the observed benefits compound-specific, or do they represent a "class effect"?

 Will effects observed in those with established cardiovascular disease apply to a Type 2 diabetes population with a broader cardiovascular risk profile?

• Will the effects observed in EMPA-REG OUTCOME translate to real world clinical practice?





Primary

 Compare risk of HHF in patients with Type 2 diabetes newly initiated on SGLT-2 inhibitors versus other glucose-lowering drugs (GLDs)

Secondary

- Compare risk of all-cause death between the two treatment groups
- Compare risk of HHF or all-cause death between the two treatment groups



Data Sources: Health Records Across Six Countries

Truven MarketScan Claims & Encounters and linked Medicare



National full-population registries



National full-population registries



National full-population registries

Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) and The Health Improvement Network (THIN)

Diabetes Patienten Verlaufsdokumentation (DPV) initiative



ACC.17





Inclusion

- New users receiving SGLT-2 inhibitors or other GLDs
 - Established Type 2 diabetes on or prior to the index date
 - ≥18 years old
 - >1 year* historical data available prior to the index date

Exclusion

- Patients with Type 1 diabetes
- Patients with gestational diabetes

*In Germany, >6 months

Statistical Analysis

() ACC.17

- Non-parsimonious propensity score developed for 'being initiated on an SGLT-2 inhibitor' within each country to minimize confounding by indication
- Patients in SGLT-2 inhibitor and other GLD groups matched 1:1 by propensity score
- Incidence rates for HHF, all-cause death, and the composite endpoint of HHF/all-cause death calculated separately within each country
- Hazard ratios and 95% CI for all outcomes derived for SGLT-2 inhibitor versus other GLD treatment groups within each country using Cox proportional hazards models
- Time-to-first event used for all outcomes

Statistical Analysis (Continued)

- A meta-analysis approach used¹
 - Hazard ratios from each country pooled to obtain summary weighted point estimates with 95% CI
- Primary analyses for all three endpoints used an on-treatment, unadjusted approach
- Sensitivity analyses assessed stability of estimates:
 - Multivariable adjustment
 - Intent-to-Treat (ITT)
 - Step-wise removal of thiazolidinedione (TZD), insulin, sulfonylurea (SU) from control group





RESULTS



Patient Population



ACC.17

SGLT-2i=sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitor



Baseline Characteristics for Propensity Match Cohort (ACC.17)

	SGLT-2 inhibitor* N=154,523	Other GLD* N=154,523	
Age, years, mean (SD)	57.0 (9.9)	57.0 (10.1)	
Women	68,419 (44.3)	68,770 (44.5)	
Established cardiovascular disease†	20,043 (13.0)	20,302 (13.1)	
Acute myocardial infarction	3792 (2.5)	3882 (2.5)	
Unstable angina	2529 (1.6)	2568 (1.7)	
Heart failure	4714 (3.1)	4759 (3.1)	
Atrial fibrillation	5632 (3.6)	5698 (3.7)	
Stroke	6347 (4.1)	6394 (4.1)	
Peripheral arterial disease	5239 (3.4)	5229 (3.4)	
Microvascular disease	42,214 (27.3)	42,221 (27.3)	
Chronic kidney disease	3920 (2.5)	4170 (2.7)	

*Data are n (%) unless otherwise stated; †Myocardial infarction, unstable angina, stroke, heart failure, transient ischemic attack, coronary revascularization or occlusive peripheral artery disease



Baseline Characteristics for Propensity Match Cohort

	SGLT-2 inhibitor* N=154,523	Other GLD* N=154,523	
Cardiovascular therapies			
Antihypertensive therapy†	123,691 (80.0)	123,560 (80.0)	
Loop diuretics	14,280 (9.2)	14,314 (9.3)	
Thiazides	42,444 (27.5)	42,509 (27.5)	
ACE inhibitors	66,812 (43.2)	67,067 (43.4)	
ARBs	48,718 (31.5)	<u>48,443 (31.4)</u>	
Statins	103,966 (67.3)	104,126 (67.4)	

ACC.17

Diabetes therapies

Metformin	121,496 (78.6)	123,429 (79.9)
Sufonylurea	59,405 (38.4)	59,786 (38.7)
DPP-4 inhibitor	51,398 (33.3)	50,088 (32.4)
Thiazolidinedione	13,649 (8.8)	12,970 (8.4)
GLP-1 receptor agonist	31,352 (20.3)	27,086 (17.5)
Insulin	45,570 (29.5)	45,095 (29.2)

*Data are n (%); †Includes angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, Ca2+ channel blockers, β-blockers, thiazides; ACEi=angiotensin-converting-enzyme; ARB=angiotensin II receptor blockers; DPP-4=Dipeptidyl peptidase-4; GLP-1=glucagon-like peptide-1

CVDREAL

Contribution of SGLT-2 inhibitor Class as a Proportion of Exposure Time in Propensity-Match Cohorts <u>Cohort 1</u>: HHF Analysis (N=309,046)



ACC.17

 \ge



HHF Primary Analysis

		Hazard Ratio: 0	05 0 10 0 25 0 50 1 00 2 00
			Favor SGLT2i ← Favor oGLD
Total	309,056	961	• 0.61 (0.51, 0.73)
Germany	2900	11 -	0.14 (0.03, 0.68)
υκ	10,462	16	• 0.36 (0.12, 1.13) Heterogeneity p-value: 0.169
Sweden	18,378	191	⊷ ■ → 0.61 (0.45, 0.82) SGLT2i vs oGLD: <0.001
Denmark	18,468	167	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Norway	25,050	278	⊷■→ 0.62 (0.49, 0.79)
US	233,798	298	⊷●→ 0.55 (0.44, 0.69)
Database	Ν	# of events	HR (95% CI)

ACC.17

Data are on treatment, unadjusted; oGLD=other glucose-lowering drug; HR=hazard ratio



Sensitivity Analyses: HHF (Pooled Estimates)

(C) ACC.17

CVDREAL

Includes data for US, Norway, Denmark, Sweden only; *Adjusted for previous heart failure, age, gender, frailty, previous myocardial infarction, previous atrial fibrillation, hypertension, obesity / body mass index, duration of diabetes, ACE inhibitor or ARB use; β-blocker or α-blocker use, Ca+-channel blocker use, loop diuretic use, thiazide diuretic use



Contribution of SGLT-2 inhibitor Class as a Proportion of Exposure Time in Propensity-Match Cohorts

Cohort 2: All-Cause Death and HHF or All-Cause Death Analyses (N=215,622)



combined

ACC.17

combined

*Data shown are for all-cause death; data for HHF or all-cause death are similar



Database	Ν	# of events		HR (95% CI)	×
US	143,264	250		0.38 (0.29, 0.50)	
Norway	25,050	364		0.55 (0.44, 0.68)	P-value for SGLT2i vs oGLD: <0.001
Denmark	18,468	323		0.46 (0.37, 0.57)	
Sweden	18,378	317	H-8-4	0.47 (0.37, 0.60)	Heterogeneity pivelue: 0.000
ик	10,462	80		0.73 (0.47, 1.15)	neterogeneity p-value. 0.009
Total	215,622	1334	•	0.49 (0.41, 0.57)	
		Hazard Ratio:	Favor SGLT2i +	→ Favor oGLD	

ACC.17

Data are on treatment, unadjusted; oGLD=other glucose-lowering drug; HR=hazard ratio



(C) ACC.17

HHF or All-Cause Death Primary Analysis

Data are on treatment, unadjusted; oGLD=other glucose-lowering drug; HR=hazard ratio

CVDREAL





- Possibility of residual, unmeasured confounding cannot be definitively excluded
 - However, results were similar across countries, and remarkably stable in multiple sensitivity analyses
- Did not examine other cardiovascular events, such as myocardial infarction and stroke
 - However, HHF is arguably the most common and morbid cardiovascular complication in Type 2 diabetes
- Adjudication of events was not possible (de-identified data and large cohorts)
- Did not focus on safety
- SGLT-2 inhibitor experience in real-world practice is still relatively short
 - Longer-term follow up required to examine whether effects are sustained over time





- In a large real-world study across six countries and a broad population of patients with Type 2 diabetes, treatment with SGLT-2 inhibitors versus other GLDs was associated with significant reductions in:
 - Hospitalization for heart failure
 - All-cause death
 - Hospitalization for heart failure or all-cause death

Clinical Implications

(C) ACC.17

- No significant heterogeneity across countries, despite geographic variations in use of SGLT-2 inhibitors (predominance of canagliflozin in US and dapagliflozin in other countries)
 - The observed cardiovascular benefits are likely class-related
- Broad population of patients with Type 2 diabetes in general practice, the overwhelming majority (87%) of whom did not have known cardiovascular disease
 - Benefits may extend to those at the lower end of the risk spectrum
- HHF and death analyses similar to those seen in EMPA-REG OUTCOME
 - Benefits appear to translate to real-world clinical practice

Acknowledgements



The authors wish to acknowledge Karolina Andersson-Sundell, Kelly Bell, Luis Alberto García Rodríguez, Lucia Cea Soriano, Oscar Fernándex Cantero, Ellen Riehle, Brian Murphy, MS Esther Bollow, Hanne Løvdal Gulseth, Bendix Carstensen, Fengming Tang, Kevin Kennedy and Sheryl L Windsor for their tireless contribution to the country level analyses, quality check validation and results interpretation. Data validation was independently conducted by MAHI, an external academic institution. Editorial support was provided by Róisín O'Connor and Mark Davies, inScience Communications, Springer Healthcare, and funded by AstraZeneca