

# Five-year Clinical And Echocardiographic Outcomes From The PARTNER 3 Low-risk Randomized Trial



#### Martin B. Leon, MD & Michael J. Mack, MD on behalf of the PARTNER 3 Trial Investigators



Within the past 36 months, I or my spouse/partner has had a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with the organization(s) listed below.

#### **Financial Relationship**

- Institutional Research Support (Columbia University)
- Consulting Fees
- Other

#### Company

Abbott, Boston Scientific, Edwards Lifesciences, Medtronic

Anteris, Foldax

Alta, Ancora, Cathworks, Croivalve, East End Medical, Medinol, Pi-cardia, SoloPace, Valve Medical, XenterMD



PART

- The PARTNER 3 trial, comparing TAVR vs. Surgery in low surgical risk patients with severe symptomatic AS, showed superior or similar primary endpoint clinical outcomes (death, stroke, and rehospitalization) at 1 and 2 years.
- Since the majority of AS patients treated with Surgery have low surgical risk profiles and are younger, the 5-year outcomes from PARTNER 3 are essential to inform patientcentered therapy for initial and subsequent aortic valve procedures.



PAR

To report the clinical and echocardiographic outcomes of the PARTNER 3 Trial at <u>5 years</u> for low-risk patients with severe symptomatic aortic stenosis treated with balloon-expandable, SAPIEN 3 TAVR vs. Surgery



# PARTNER 3 PARTNER 3 Study Design

#### Symptomatic Severe Aortic Stenosis



#### Follow-up: 30 day, 6 mos, and annually through 10 years

#### **PRIMARY ENDPOINT AT 1 YEAR:**

Non-hierarchical composite of all-cause death, all stroke, or rehospitalization (valve-, procedure-, or HF-related)



## Statistical Considerations For 5-year Follow-up

- Endpoints and definitions meant to evaluate safety and effectiveness at 1 year were supplemented to assess late bioprosthetic valve performance and clinical outcomes at 5 years.
- An extension SAP was created with a pre-specified hierarchical composite endpoint analysis that would be more clinically meaningful for 5-year follow-up.
- Valve thrombosis and bioprosthetic valve failure were defined according to VARC-3.

# **Five-year Primary Endpoints**

#### **Primary Endpoint 1**

- Non-hierarchical composite of all-cause death, all stroke, or rehospitalization<sup>\*</sup> (time to first event)
- Assessed as the KM rate difference at 5 years
- Also depicted as a hazard ratio (HR)
  - Odds ratios were calculated for any clinical outcomes that showed evidence of non-proportionality of hazards for time-to-event analyses

#### **Primary Endpoint 2**

- Hierarchical composite of all-cause death, disabling stroke, non-disabling stroke, and rehospitalization<sup>\*</sup> days
- Assessed using the *win ratio* method



## **Baseline Characteristics**

% or mean ± SD

PARTNER 3

Demographics & Vascular Disease	TAVR (N=496)	Surgery (N=454)	Other Comorbidities	TAVR (N=496)	Surgery (N=454)
Age (years)	73.3 ± 5.8	73.6 ± 6.1	Diabetes	31.3%	30.2%
Male	67.5%	71.1%	COPD (any)	5.1%	6.2%
BMI (kg/m²)	30.7 ± 5.5	30.3 ± 5.1	Pulmonary Hypertension	4.6%	5.3%
STS Score	1.9 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.6	Creatinine > 2mg/dL	0.2%	0.2%
NYHA Class III or IV*	31.3%	23.8%	Frailty (overall; > 2/4+)	0	0
Coronary Disease	27.7%	28.0%	Atrial Fibrillation (h/o)	15.7%	18.8%
Prior CABG	3.0%	1.8%	Permanent Pacemaker	2.4%	2.9%
Prior CVA	3.4%	5.1%	Left Bundle Branch Block	3.0%	3.3%
Peripheral Vascular Disease	6.9%	7.3%	Right Bundle Branch Block	10.3%	13.7%

# **Primary Endpoint 1**

PARTNER 3

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Restricted Mean Event-free Survival Time (Days)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

Months from Procedure

### Primary Endpoint 1 By Valve Size – TAVR

PARTNER 3

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Primary Endpoint 2**

**Hierarchical Components:** 

1. All-cause Death

PARTNER 3

2. Disabling Stroke

3. Non-disabling Stroke

**4. Rehospitalization Days** (valve-, procedure-, or HF-related)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **All-cause Death**

PARTNER 3

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **CV** Death

PARTNER 3

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

# PARTNER 3 Causes of Death 0-5 Years CV Causes Non-CV Causes

Cause, No. of pts	TAVR	Surgery
Cardiac Cause	8	9
Acute MI	0	2
Cardiac Arrest	2	1
Cardiogenic Shock	0	1
CHF	2	3
Endocarditis	0	1
Sudden Cardiac Death	4	1
Non-coronary	11	e
Vascular Conditions	11	0
Procedure-related	2	2
Stroke	3	4
Traumatic Head Injury	6	0
from Fall	0	U
Unknown	7	6
Totals	26	21

Cause, No. of pts	TAVR	Surgery
Cancer	9	5
COVID-19	3	1
Cirrhosis	1	0
MVA	1	1
Parkinson's Disease	0	1
Respiratory Failure*	3	4
Sepsis	4	1
Suicide	1	0
Totals	22	13

<sup>\*</sup>Due to chronic respiratory disease or pneumonia

# PARTNER 3 Causes of Death 0-5 Years CV Causes Non-CV Causes

Cause, No. of pts	TAVR	Surgery
Cardiac Cause	8	9
Acute MI	0	2
Cardiac Arrest	2	1
Cardiogenic Shock	0	1
CHF	2	3
Endocarditis	0	1
Sudden Cardiac Death	4	1
Non-coronary	11	e
Vascular Conditions		0
Procedure-related	2	2
Stroke	3	4
Traumatic Head Injury	6	0
from Fall	0	0
Unknown	7	6
Totals	26	21

Cause, No. of pts	TAVR	Surgery
Cancer	9	5
COVID-19	3	1
Cirrhosis	1	0
MVA	1	1
Parkinson's Disease	0	1
Respiratory Failure*	3	4
Sepsis	4	1
Suicide	1	0
Totals	22	13

# PARTNER 3 Causes of Death 0-5 Years CV Causes Non-CV Causes

Cause, No. of pts	TAVR	Surgery
Cardiac Cause	8	9
Acute MI	0	2
Cardiac Arrest	2	1
Cardiogenic Shock	0	1
CHF	2	3
Endocarditis	0	1
Sudden Cardiac Death	4	1
Non-coronary	11	e
Vascular Conditions		0
Procedure-related	2	2
Stroke	3	4
Traumatic Head Injury	c	0
from Fall	0	0
Unknown	7	6
Totals	26	21

Cause, No. of pts	TAVR	Surgery
Cancer	9	5
COVID-19	3	1
Cirrhosis	1	0
MVA	1	1
Parkinson's Disease	0	1
Respiratory Failure*	3	4
Sepsis	4	1
Suicide	1	0
Totals	22	13

<sup>\*</sup>Due to chronic respiratory disease or pneumonia

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **PARTNER 3** Vital Status Sweep Methods For 5-year Follow-up

- To improve completeness of follow-up, a vital status (alive or dead) sweep was performed by sites (patient/family phone) calls) and using publicly available data.
- Of 95 patients identified, vital status was obtained in 66 additional patients; TAVR = 21 and Surgery = 45; 18/21 TAVR patients and 38/45 Surgery patients were alive at 5 years.
- Overall, vital status was known for 98.0% with TAVR and  $\bullet$ 97.1% with Surgery.

## **Vital Status Sweep**

PARTNER 3

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

PARTNER 3

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **All Stroke**

PARTNER 3

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

KM Rate (No. of pts) or no. of pts

PARTNER 3

Endnoint	0 – 5 Years		
Епаропп	TAVR	Surgery	
All Stroke	5.8% (27)*	6.4% (27)	
Disabling	2.9% (13)*	2.7% (11)	
Ischemic	10	8	
Hemorrhagic	3	3	
Non-disabling	3.2% (15)*	3.7% (16)	
Ischemic	14	13	
Hemorrhagic	1	1	
Undetermined	0	2	

\*3 patients in the TAVR arm had multiple strokes

## **Rehospitalization**\*

PARTNER 3

TRIAL

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*Rehosp defined as valve-, procedure-, or HF-related

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Additional Clinical Endpoints

KM% (No. of patients)

	0 – 5 Years				
Enapoints	TAVR	Surgery	HR [95% CI]	P-value	
Aortic valve reintervention	2.6% (12)	3.0% (12)	0.86 [0.39, 1.92]	0.72	
Endocarditis	1.3% (6)	2.0% (8)	0.65 [0.23, 1.87]	0.42	
Valve thrombosis (VARC-3)	2.5% (12)	0.2% (1)	10.52 [1.37, 80.93]	< 0.01	
New-onset atrial fibrillation*	13.7% (55)	42.4% (155)	0.25 [0.19, 0.34]	< 0.0001	
New pacemaker	13.5% (63)	10.4% (43)	1.33 [0.90, 1.96]	0.15	
MI*	2.1% (10)	4.4% (18)	0.48 [0.22, 1.05]	0.06	
Serious bleeding*	10.2% (49)	14.8% (64)	0.65 [0.45, 0.95]	0.02	
Revascularization*	3.7% (17)	6.0% (25)	0.59 [0.32, 1.09]	0.09	

\*Site-reported values (not CEC-adjudicated to 5 years)

## **VARC-3 Definitions Clinically Significant Valve Thrombosis**

Clinical sequelae of a thromboembolic event (e.g., stroke, retinal occlusion) <u>OR</u> Signs/symptoms of HF

Confirmatory imaging and/or CT evidence of HALT/RLM

Stage 2 or 3 HVD

Clinically Significant Valve Thrombosis Stage 3 HVD

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Valve Thrombosis

No. of patients

Clinically Significant Valve Thrombosis	0 – 5 Years		
	TAVR	Surgery	
Valve Thrombosis Events*	13 events in 12 pts <sup>+</sup>	1 event in 1 pt	
Clinical Sequelae Related to Thrombosis			
Death	0	0	
Stroke (all ischemic)	3	0	
Disabling	1	0	
Non-disabling	2	0	
Stage 2 or 3 HVD	8	0	
Occurred after 1 year	11†	1	
Resolved with Anticoagulation	7	0	

\*All patients had confirmatory imaging

<sup>†</sup>1 patient had 2 events; the first occurred POD 40, the second POD 371 (both Stage 1 HVD)

#### Valve Hemodynamics Mean Gradient

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

PARTNER 3

#### Valve Hemodynamics Aortic Valve Area

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

PARTNER 3

## **Paravalvular Regurgitation**

≥ mild P < 0.001 at all time points

PARTNER 3

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **BVF to 5 Years (VARC-3)**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

PARTNER 3

# PARTNER 3 Stage 2/3 SVD to 5 Years (VARC 3)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Mean KCCQ-OS Score

PARTNER 3

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **PARTNER 3 QOL and Valve Durability at 5 Years**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Conclusions (1)**

In low-risk severe symptomatic AS patients, treated with either SAPIEN 3 TAVR or Surgery, over 5 years follow-up:

- BOTH TAVR and Surgery were associated with similar and low clinical event rates (CV death ~1%/yr, all stroke ~1%/yr, and CV rehospitalization ~3%/yr).
- Differences in the primary composite endpoint rate, which favored TAVR at 1-year, were attenuated after 5 years ( $\Delta$  7.1% to  $\Delta$  4.3%).
- Other important endpoints were either similar for both therapies (new PM and reintervention), favored TAVR (new AF and serious bleeding), or favored Surgery (mild PVR and valve thrombosis).

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

• The improvements in antegrade valve hemodynamics were maintained for both therapies at 5 years.

PARI

- VARC-3 bioprosthetic valve failure and SVD were similar and infrequent with both therapies (BVF - TAVR 3.3% and Surgery 3.8%; SVD - TAVR 4.2% and Surgery 3.8%), encouraging signs for favorable valve durability; 10-year follow-up is planned.
- Marked 1-year improvements in patient-reported outcomes (esp. KCCQ scores) were maintained and similar for both therapies.

# **Clinical Implications**

PART

The 5-year follow-up findings from the PARTNER 3 trial reaffirm the clinical and echocardiographic benefits of SAPIEN 3 TAVR as a meaningful alternative to surgical therapy for low-risk severe, symptomatic AS patients!

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Just Published in NEJM!**

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

#### Transcatheter Aortic-Valve Replacement in Low-Risk Patients at Five Years

Michael J. Mack, M.D., Martin B. Leon, M.D., Vinod H. Thourani, M.D., Philippe Pibarot, D.V.M., Ph.D., Rebecca T. Hahn, M.D.,
Philippe Genereux, M.D., Susheel K. Kodali, M.D., Samir R. Kapadia, M.D., David J. Cohen, M.D., Stuart J. Pocock, Ph.D., Michael Lu, Ph.D., Roseann White, Ph.D., Molly Szerlip, M.D., Julien Ternacle, M.D.,
S. Chris Malaisrie, M.D., Howard C. Herrmann, M.D., Wilson Y. Szeto, M.D., Mark J. Russo, M.D., Vasilis Babaliaros, M.D., Craig R. Smith, M.D., Philipp Blanke, M.D., John G. Webb, M.D., and Raj Makkar, M.D., for the PARTNER 3 Investigators\*

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thank you!

To all the Investigators, Heart Teams, and especially, the 10,000 participating Patients, for 15 years of PARTNER!

# See you at the Echo LBCT and the Deep-Dive PARTNER 3 Symposium

PARTNER 3

2:00pm	Five-year Echocardiographic Outcomes from the PARTNER 3 Low-risk Randomized Trial Rebecca T. Hahn
3:30pm	Perspectives on Mortality in the PARTNER 3 5-Year Study Vinod H. Thourani
3:45pm	Important Secondary Endpoints from the PARTNER 3 5-Year Study Raj Makkar
4:00 pm	An Echo Deep Dive of the PARTNER 3 5-Year Study Philippe Pibarot
4:15 pm	Importance of Patient Reported Outcomes David J. Cohen