

BREAKOUT SESSION DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

To foster conversation, participants will be placed in breakout rooms after brief presentations for small group live discussion. After the break-out sessions, participants will rejoin the main session for report-outs.

Note: Group assignments will be provided closer to the meeting date. For Session 1, each group is assigned a perspective and some questions will vary. For session 2, all groups will respond to the same questions.

SESSION 1: DEFINITIONS, DIAGNOSTICS CRITERIA, AND GAPS

Group 1: Clinical, pathology, and histology perspective

Moderator: Stephane Heymans, MD, PhD

- 1. Do you agree we need new definitions? Do you agree with the proposed definitions wording? Is further clarification needed? If not, what would you change?
- 2. What are the challenges and gaps in current definitions and diagnosis of myocarditis?
 - What is the burden (acute and chronic) of myocarditis? How would it change?
 - What are the risk markers?
 - Do we need to be doing more myocardial biopsy (in the U.S.)?
- 3. What can ACC do to improve the understanding of the definition and classification of myocarditis/what role should ACC play in disseminating information?



Group 2: Imaging Perspective

Moderator: Bettina Heidecker, MD, FACC

- 1. Do you agree we need new definitions? Do you agree with the proposed definitions wording? Is further clarification needed? If not, what would you change?
- 2. What are the challenges and gaps in current definitions and diagnosis of myocarditis?
 - What is the burden (acute and chronic) of myocarditis? How would it change with imaging being incorporating in diagnostic criteria?
 - What are the risk markers?
 - How can we make imaging more available? What are the costs, and how can they be covered?
 - Obtaining MRI is still challenging in many hospitals due to cost and availability. Would guideline recommendations make it easier to order an MRI at your hospital?
 - What is your preferred imaging modality? How frequently do you use PET-CT and for which indications? Do you see a role for PET-MRI? What is the role of MRI for prognostic assessment in myocarditis and for prevention of sudden cardiac death?
- 3. What can ACC do to improve the understanding of the definition and classification of myocarditis/what role should ACC play in disseminating information?



Group 3: Evolving biomarkers and genetics perspective

Moderator: Amy Kontorovich, MD, PhD, FACC

- 1. Do you agree we need new definitions? Do you agree with the proposed definitions wording? Is further clarification needed? If not, what would you change?
- 2. What are the challenges and gaps in current definitions and diagnosis of myocarditis?
 - What are the risk markers?
 - How do absolute levels and/or trends in troponin and BNP inform classification and decision making? Troponin I vs. Troponin T (i.e. for immune check point inhibitor vs. other forms)? Should we be using high sensitivity troponin and NT-pro-BNP as standard of practice?
 - Should you assess ESR, hsCRP and/or other inflammatory markers (i.e. all myocarditis or only myopericarditis)? If so, when?
 - When should referral be considered for genetic evaluation (clinical context, family history, timing of performing genetic testing- acute vs. post-acute illness)? Which genes?
 - Does a "positive" genetic result change classification or plan of action?
- 3. What can ACC do to improve the understanding of the definition and classification of myocarditis/what role should ACC play in disseminating information?



Group 4: Patients' and caregivers' perspective

Moderator: Leslie T. Cooper, Jr., MD, FACC

Discussion Questions:

- 1. Do you agree we need new definitions? Do you agree with the proposed definitions wording? Is further clarification needed? If not, what would you change?
- 2. What are the challenges and gaps in current definitions and diagnosis of myocarditis?
 - What was it about the disease that was most impactful in your life?
 - What do patients need to better under their myocarditis diagnosis?
- 3. What can ACC do to improve the understanding of the definition and classification of myocarditis/what role should ACC play in disseminating information?

Group 5: Payers' and coverage perspective

Moderator: W.H. Wilson Tang, MD, FACC

- 1. Do you agree we need new definitions? Do you agree with the proposed definitions wording? Is further clarification needed? If not, what would you change?
- 2. What are the challenges and gaps in current definitions and diagnosis of myocarditis?
 - What is the burden (acute and chronic) of myocarditis? How would it change?
 - What specific steps can the ACC take to engage policy makers and the coding community to encourage the adoption of standardized definitions for the various clinical terms used?
 - What is needed to ensure adequate coverage for diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for myocarditis, myopericarditis, inflammatory cardiomyopathies?
- 3. What can ACC do to improve the understanding of the definition and classification of myocarditis/what role should ACC play in disseminating information?



Group 6: Health inequity, disparities, and role of social determinants of health perspective

Moderator: Biykem Bozkurt, MD, PhD, FACC

- 1. Do you agree we need new definitions? Do you agree with the proposed definitions wording? Is further clarification needed? If not, what would you change?
- 2. What are the challenges and gaps in current definitions and diagnosis of myocarditis?
 - What are the challenges and gaps in the diagnosis of myocarditis among underrepresented and disadvantaged populations?
 - Does the absence of a standard definition and diagnostic criteria play a role in health inequity, disparities, and role of social determinants of health in patients with myocarditis?
- 3. What can ACC do to improve the understanding of the definition and classification of myocarditis/what role should ACC play in disseminating information?



SESSION 2: MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES OF MYOCARDITIS

Groups 1-6

- 1. What are the challenges and gaps in current management and treatment strategies of myocarditis?
- 2. What are the strengths and potential challenges of the proposed management? Would the algorithms proposed be feasible in your hospital/clinic? Would they be covered by insurance companies?
- 3. How do we know that the myocarditis has resolved especially when repeat diagnostic strategies are not covered?
- 4. What do you think is needed in future research, registry, and clinical trials for targeted therapies?
- 5. What outreach efforts should the ACC engage in to educate patients and non-cardiology providers? How can ACC best engage and stimulate multiples stakeholders (cardiology and non-cardiology, other healthcare providers, guideline writing committees, policy makers, coding community, etc.) to standardize the terminology and treatment strategies?



