

An initiative of the ABIM Foundation

American College of Cardiology



Five Things Physicians and Patients Should Question

Avoid the routine use of invasive hemodynamic monitoring with pulmonary artery catheters in patients with uncomplicated acute decompensated heart failure who are hemodynamically stable and responding to treatment.

The randomized ESCAPE trial and a meta-analysis of randomized trials did not demonstrate benefit to routine pulmonary artery catheterization in heart failure and in critically ill patients. Since the publication of these analyses in 2005, there has been growing awareness that invasive hemodynamic monitoring may be valuable in some patients with decompensated heart failure. Thus, the 2021 update to the 2017 Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for Optimization of Heart Failure Treatment concluded that invasive hemodynamic and filing pressure assessment may occasionally be useful to support decision-making in patients who have refractory symptoms despite adequate use of diuretic agents, and in patients who develop worsening renal function with attempts to increase doses of diuretic agents. It may also be helpful in patients with repeated hospitalization for congestion in whom an understanding of filling pressures and hemodynamics might assist in meaningful changes in heart failure therapies. Pulmonary artery catheterization results may also help select candidates for advanced therapies, including transplantation or mechanical circulatory support. Similarly, the 2022 AHA/ ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure emphasized that invasive hemodynamic monitoring may be useful for patients with acute heart failure "whose fluid status, perfusion, or systemic or pulmonary vascular resistance is uncertain; whose systolic blood pressure remains low, or is associated with symptoms, despite initial treatment; whose renal function is worsening with therapy; or who require parenteral vasoactive agents."

However, the use of pulmonary artery catheters is not without increased risk of complications which may range from mild such as a superficial hematoma to major such as pulmonary artery rupture. Additionally, the use of pulmonary artery catheters is associated with increased healthcare costs – as much as \$1,600 in a recent analysis – and is associated with a cost difference of approximately \$1,400 when compared to patients not receiving pulmonary artery catheter-guided care. In the year 2000, over 1.2 million pulmonary artery catheters were placed in the United States with an associated annual cost of over 2 billion dollars.

Overall, weighing risks and benefits, the 2021 Expert Consensus update and the 2022 Guideline concluded that routine use of invasive hemodynamic monitoring with pulmonary artery catheters is not recommended.

Avoid performing atrial fibrillation ablation for the sole purpose of discontinuing chronic anticoagulation.

Catheter ablation is an important tool to reduce symptoms and improve quality of life for appropriately selected patients with atrial fibrillation (AF). However, there are no randomized trials demonstrating that anticoagulation can be safely discontinued after ablation in patients with elevated stroke risk. US cardiology society and international electrophysiology society guidelines and consensus statements recommend that continuation of oral anticoagulation after catheter ablation should be guided by a patient's stroke risk profile rather than the perceived success of the procedure. Therefore discontinuation of anticoagulation should not be the sole motivating factor for undertaking AF ablation. Informed consent and shared decision making should include a discussion of the indication to continue anticoagulation as indicated by standard stroke risk scores and clinical practice guidelines.

Avoid routine imaging stress tests or coronary CT angiography for the workup of palpitations or presyncope.

Palpitations, dizziness, lightheadedness, and presyncope are common symptoms. Not infrequently, these are worked-up with a variety of testing modalities including advanced cardiac imaging (PET or SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, or cardiac computed tomography). In the absence of other symptoms or signs of cardiovascular disease, cardiac imaging beyond a transthoracic echocardiogram is rarely warranted.

These items are provided solely for informational purposes and are not intended as a substitute for consultation with a medical professional. Patients with any specific questions about the items on this list or their individual situation should consult their physician.

Avoid obtaining a coronary artery calcium score in patients with known clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

A coronary artery calcium (CAC) test is useful to assess risk and aid treatment decisions in many individuals without an established diagnosis of coronary artery disease. It does not contribute information for the risk assessment or treatment when the diagnosis of clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) has been established. According to the 2018 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol, clinical ASCVD includes acute coronary syndrome (ACS), history of myocardial infarction (MI), stable or unstable angina or coronary or other arterial revascularization, stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), or peripheral artery disease (PAD) including aortic aneurysm, all of atherosclerotic origin.

Avoid obtaining routine serial echocardiograms for chronic heart failure if there has been no change in signs, symptoms, or management.

Echocardiography is a readily available, accurate, noninvasive modality that is ideal for assessing pathology and monitoring treatment in patients with heart failure (HF). Once guideline-directed medical treatment has been optimized, echocardiography can be useful when making decisions regarding device therapy or referral to advanced therapies. For patients who are stable clinically and in whom no recent change in management has occurred or is contemplated, a routine schedule of serial echocardiograms is not useful.

How This List Was Created

The American College of Cardiology (ACC) formed a *Choosing Wisely* workgroup of member leaders from the Board of Governors, subspecialty experts, and health policy specialists. The workgroup was tasked with identifying five clinical scenarios where specific tests, treatments, or procedures should be avoided for lack of benefit. These five recommendations were pulled from ACC's existing appropriate use criteria (AUC) and clinical practice guidelines, selecting items that were either Rarely Appropriate AUC indications or Class III guideline recommendations. The ACC's Clinical Policy Approval Committee and Science & Quality Committee then reviewed and approved the final five items.

ACC's disclosure and conflict of interest policy can be found at www.acc.org/about-acc/industry-relations/principles-for-relationships-with-industry

Sources

Binanay C, Califf RM, Hasselblad V, et al. Evaluation study of congestive heart failure and pulmonary artery catheterization effectiveness: the ESCAPE trial. JAMA. 2005;294:1625-1633. Shah MR, Hasselblad V, Stevenson LW, et al. Impact of the pulmonary artery catheter in critically ill patients: meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. JAMA. 2005;294:1664-1670. Maddox TM, Januzzi JL, Jr., Allen LA, et al. 2021 Update to the 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for Optimization of Heart Failure Treatment: Answers to 10 Pivotal Issues About Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021;77:772-810. Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aquilar D, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;79:e263-e421. 1 Evans DC, Doraiswamy VA, Prosciak MP, et al. Complications associated with pulmonary artery catheters: a comprehensive clinical review. Scand J Sura, 2009;98:199-208 American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Pulmonary Artery C. Practice guidelines for pulmonary artery catheterization: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Pulmonary Artery Catheterization. Anesthesiology. 2003;99:988-1014. Hadian M, Pinsky MR. Evidence-based review of the use of the pulmonary artery catheter: impact data and complications. Crit Care. 2006;10 Suppl 3:S8. Banerjee S, Monteleone P, Novak S. Catheterization Laboratory Activity-Based Costing. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2021;14:e010228. January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:e1-76. Calkins H, Hindricks G, Cappato R, et al. 2017 HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation. Heart Rhythm. 2017;14:e275-e444. Doherty JU, Kort S, Mehran R, et al. ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2019 Appropriate Use Criteria for Multimodality Imaging in the Assessment of Cardiac Structure and Function in Nonvalvular Heart Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73:488-516. Shen WK, Sheldon RS, Benditt DG, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Patients With Syncope: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;70:e39-e110. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/ PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73:e285-e350. Orringer CE, Blaha MJ, Blankstein R, et al. The National Lipid Association scientific statement on coronary artery calcium scoring to guide preventive strategies for ASCVD risk reduction. J Clin Lipidol. 2021;15:33-60. Maddox TM, Januzzi JL, Jr., Allen LA, et al. 2021 Update to the 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for Optimization of Heart Failure Treatment: Answers to 10 Pivotal Issues About Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021;77:772-810. Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;79:e263-e421. 5 Doherty JU, Kort S, Mehran R, et al. ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2019 Appropriate Use Criteria for Multimodality Imaging in the Assessment of Cardiac Structure and Function in Nonvalvular Heart Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic

About the ABIM Foundation

The mission of the ABIM Foundation is to advance medical professionalism to improve the health care system. We achieve this by collaborating with physicians and physician leaders, medical trainees, health care delivery systems, payers, policymakers, consumer organizations and patients to foster a shared understanding of professionalism and how they can adopt the tenets of professionalism in practice.



To learn more about the ABIM Foundation, visit www.abimfoundation.org.

Resonance, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73:488-516.

About the American College of Cardiology:

The American College of Cardiology (ACC) is a 56,000-member nonprofit medical society with members from around the world. The ACC is comprised of physicians, surgeons,



nurses, physician assistants, pharmacists and practice managers, and bestows credentials upon cardiovascular specialists who meet its stringent qualifications. The College is a leader in the formulation of health policy, standards and guidelines, and cardiovascular research. The ACC provides professional education and operates national registries for the measurement and improvement of quality care.

Learn more at www.acc.org.

For more information or to see other lists of Five Things Physicians and Patients Should Question, visit www.choosingwisely.org.